

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/691,776	10/18/2000	Carolyn E. Mountford	1274/60326/PJP	3709
75	590 01/12/2004		EXAM	INER
Peter J. Phillips			SODERQUIST, ARLEN	
c/o Cooper & D	Dunham LLP			
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1743	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· September 1 and 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/691,776	MOUNTFORD ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arlen Soderquist	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
 1	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record.						
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) luld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:	alen Sodergue	JD				
	ARLEN SODERQUIST PRIMARY EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new issues relate to at least consideration of one or more of references 9, 13-15 and 18 which the instant specification teaches are related to the statistical classification strategy (SCS) method that includes the crosa-validation step or the bootstrap that appears to be related to the cross validation. The new matter is relative to whether the specification which teaches about half of the spectra being selected for the training set in the cross validation part of the method provides support for the language as amended in the claims submitted in the after final amendment.